

The Weak Gravity Conjecture in different spacetimes

M. Montero

Instituto de Física Teórica UAM-CSIC

StringPheno

University of Ioannina, June 21th 2016



- **Weak Gravity Conjecture: Very useful tool used recently to constrain large field inflation models and relaxation** [De La Fuente-Saraswat-Sundrum '14, Rudelius '14,'15, MM-Uranga-Valenzuela '15, Brown-Cottrell-Shiu-Soler '15 (x2), Bachlechner-Long-McAllister '15, Hebecker-Mangat-Rompineve-Witkowski '15, Junghans '15, Heidenreich-Reece-Rudelius '15 (x3), Palti '15, Kooner-Parameswaran-Zavala '15, Ibañez-Montero-Uranga-Valenzuela '15, Hebecker-Rompineve-Westphal '15, Fonseca-de Lima-Machado-Matheus '16, Parameswaran-Tasinato-Zavala '16, Baume-Palti '16, (García-Valdecasas)-Uranga '16].
- **Strong forms more constraining** [Brown-Cottrell-Shiu-Soler '15, Heidenreich-Reece-Rudelius '15]
- **WGC works in every string theory example so far (even perturbative proof for heterotic** [(Arkani-Hamed)-Motl-Nicolis-Vafa '06]).
- **Heuristic arguments based on BH remnants**
- **Yet no formal, general proof! Work in progress with G. Shiu and P. Soler (UW-Madison) along this direction.**

The setup

We will look at the WGC in AdS spacetimes. . . [Nakayama-Nomura '15]

. . . and in three dimensions.

Pros & cons:

- Behavior of gravity & gauge fields much simpler.
- Greatly enhanced CFT symmetry group.
- Extra constraints on CFT, such as modular invariance.
- **Main con: $d = 3$ so different from $d > 3$ that any relationship to higher d WGC is uncertain at best.**

The setup

We will look at the WGC in AdS spacetimes. . . [Nakayama-Nomura '15]

. . . and in three dimensions.

Pros & cons:

- Behavior of gravity & gauge fields much simpler.
- Greatly enhanced CFT symmetry group.
- Extra constraints on CFT, such as modular invariance.
- Main con: $d = 3$ so different from $d > 3$ that any relationship to higher d WGC is uncertain at best.

The setup

We will look at the WGC in AdS spacetimes. . . [Nakayama-Nomura '15]

. . . and in three dimensions.

Pros & cons:

- Behavior of gravity & gauge fields much simpler.
- Greatly enhanced CFT symmetry group.
- Extra constraints on CFT, such as modular invariance.
- **Main con: $d = 3$ so different from $d > 3$ that any relationship to higher d WGC is uncertain at best.**

Gravity in three dimensions

In $d = 3$, gravity is topological, since $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is a function of the metric. In AdS \exists black hole [Bañados, Teitelboim, Zanelli '92]

$$ds^2 = - \left(-8GM + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{J^2}{4r^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{-8GM + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}} + r^2 \left(d\phi - \frac{Jdt}{2r^2} \right)^2$$

with horizon at

$$r_+ = l \left[4GM \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{J}{Ml} \right)^2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Notice horizon is of cosmological size!

Gauge fields in 3d

Consider a compact $U(1)$ gauge theory in 3d. Major points:

- In 3d, compact $U(1)$ confines [Polyakov '77] unless we add a Chern-Simons term

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \int F \wedge A, \quad \mu \equiv \frac{N}{2\pi^2} e^2$$

- This modifies e.o.m:

$$d * F = *j_e + \mu F$$

- And Gauss' Law:

$$\int_{S^1} *F = Q_e + \mu \int_{S^1} A$$

Total charge can be measured by holonomy of A on S^1 at infinity.

- Chern-Simons term actually required from AdS/CFT. [Kraus '07]

Charged BTZ black holes

BTZ black holes can support electric charge in the form of a flat connection

$$Q_e = -\mu^2 \int_{S^1} A$$

This is the 3d analog of the black hole with B-field hair.

[Bowick-Giddings-Harvey-Horowitz-Strominger '88]

- No backreaction on metric, even w. higher derivative corrections. Contrast with $d > 3$. Related to scalar no-hair.
- No apparent extremality bound for Q .
- WGC talks about *superextremal* particles.

The CFT perspective

Weakly coupled AdS_3 is dual to CFT_2 at large central charge

$$c = \frac{3l}{2G}.$$

Bulk $U(1)$ is dual to CFT current $j(z)$ at level N :

$$[\tilde{j}_m, j_p] = N\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [L_m, j_p] = -pj_{p+m}.$$

- j_0 is proportional to Q , bulk electric charge.
- $[L_0, Q] = [\tilde{L}_0, Q] = 0$: Electric charge is exactly conserved.
- Universal contribution (Sugawara construction) to L_0 :

$$L_0 = L'_0 + \frac{Q^2}{2N}$$

BH threshold

Standard lore: Only very high dimension CFT operators can be dual to a BH geometry. We find

$$L_0 \geq \frac{c}{24} + \frac{Q^2}{2N}.$$

This is the black hole threshold.

- It is an extremality-like bound: Charged states below are lighter than any black hole (hence superextremal).
- WGC \leftrightarrow show \exists operators below BH threshold.
- Also required by agreement of CFT result (Cardy formula) and semiclassical entropy computation.

Modular invariance

The CFT partition with chemical potential

$$Z(\tau, z) = \text{Tr} \left(q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0 - \frac{\tilde{c}}{24}} e^{2\pi i z Q} \right)$$

satisfies $Z(\tau, z) = Z(\tau, z + 1)$ due to charge quantization.

Modular invariance implies

$$Z(\tau', z') = \exp \left(i\pi N \frac{z^2}{c\tau + d} \right) Z(\tau, z), \quad \tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad z \rightarrow \frac{z}{c\tau + d}$$

Together, these mean

$$Z(\tau, \tau) = \exp(i\pi N\tau) Z(\tau, 0).$$

or

$$Z(\tau, 0) = \text{Tr} \left(q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24} + Q + \frac{N}{2}} \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0 - \frac{\tilde{c}}{24}} \right)$$

Modular invariance II

Conclusion: the spectrum is invariant under *spectral flow* by N units

$$L_0 \rightarrow L_0 + Q + \frac{N}{2}, \quad Q \rightarrow Q + N, \quad \tilde{L}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_0.$$

Acting on the vacuum, spectral flow produces a state of charge

$$Q = kN \quad \text{and} \quad L_0 = k^2 \frac{N}{2},$$

which are below the BH threshold: These states satisfy the (strong & Lattice) WGC in three dimensions.

Comparison with WGC heuristics

Usual WGC heuristics do not apply here for several reasons:

- No tunable coupling: Fixed by AdS radius & level N .
- Large AdS black holes do not evaporate.
- In 3d, small BTZ black holes (compared to l) receive important quantum corrections.

Electric charge behaves like global charge; even this seems OK because of the last point.

The \mathbb{Z}_N charge

The \mathbb{Z}_N charge

Mod. invariance only gives lights states with $Q = kN$: Remnant \mathbb{Z}_N charge does not have a WGC.

- BH charge observable via Aharonov-Bohm kind of experiments (BH with discrete electric hair [Coleman, Preskill, Wilczek '92])
- Some constraints on spectrum from modular invariance (modular bootstrap [Benjamin, Dyer, Fitzpatrick, Kachru '16]), but
- Not enough to establish WGC in 3d (explicit counterexample).

No reason (stringy or remnants-based) to expect WGC for discrete symmetries anyway, even in $d > 3$.

Summary

- Drastic differences for charged BH's in 3d.
- Modular invariance + compact gauge group = Strong/Lattice WGC in 3d.
- Black hole heuristics not relevant/applicable
- Remnant \mathbb{Z}_N charge does not have WGC from modular invariance alone

Outlook:

- How much can we take to $d > 3$?
- Any heuristic motivation for 3d WGC?

Summary

- Drastic differences for charged BH's in 3d.
- Modular invariance + compact gauge group = Strong/Lattice WGC in 3d.
- Black hole heuristics not relevant/applicable
- Remnant \mathbb{Z}_N charge does not have WGC from modular invariance alone

Outlook:

- How much can we take to $d > 3$?
- Any heuristic motivation for 3d WGC?

Thank you very much!